Original Article

IArticle Biochemical Evaluation of Saliva in Pregnant Women, Mirpur AJK

Biochemical Evaluation of Saliva in Pregnancy

Bushra Kant¹, Aisha Yousaf¹, Asma Hameed¹ and Asnad²

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study to evaluate saliva biochemical composition of pregnant women and non-pregnant women in Mirpur, AJK.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Mohd ud din Medical College, Mirpur, AJK and Biochemistry Department of Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur AJK from March 2018 to August 2019.

Materials and Methods: We take for study 200 pregnant women patients and 100 health non-pregnant women. We take saliva sample of groups, pregnant women and non-pregnant women and first of determine pH of saliva of both groups by pH meter. Biochemical composition is analyzed by automatic biochemistry analyzer of the both group pregnant women and non- pregnant women.

Results: The result showed that decreased level of calcium if found in pregnant women (0.37 ± 0.17) as compare to non–pregnant women (0.52 ± 0.29) . It is also indicating high level of phosphate is found in pregnant women (5.74 ± 3.44) as compare to non-pregnant women (4.55 ± 1.84) . We also found low level saliva glucose in pregnant women (0.56 ± 0.45) as compare to non–pregnant women (3.39 ± 4.37) during pregnancy. Low pH or acid environment of oral cavity of pregnant women (6.74 ± 0.28) found as compare to non-pregnant women (7.04 ± 0.27) .

Conclusion: The oral pathology or biochemical composition alteration and acidic environment of oral cavity in pregnant women caused dental caries as compare to non-pregnant women. Pregnant women should control the acid environment of oral cavity during pregnancy.

Key Words: Saliva, Biochemical, Pregnant women

Citation of article: Kant B, Yousaf A, Hameed A, Asnad. Biochemical Evaluation of Saliva in Pregnant Women, Mirpur AJK. Med Forum 2020;31(8):72-75.

INTRODUCTION

Muscular and skeletal systems, hematological, respiratory and cardiovascular are reflected result of alteration of hormonal changes in pregnancy such as (human chorionic gonadotropin, estrogen and progesterone). In pregnancy; the oral environment is change with alteration in physiological changes. Gingivitis in pregnancy is well known condition. ^{2,3}

Correspondence: Dr. Asnad, Associate Professor, Department of Biochemistry Department of Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur AJK Contact No: 0332-3698204

Email: drasnadkhan@gmail.com

Received: April, 2020 Accepted: May, 2020 Printed: August, 2020 The studies show that caries rate is high in pregnant women while in non -pregnant women prevalence rate is low, it is showed that oral pathological changes are occurred in pregnant women as compare to nonpregnant women. 4,5 In another study, it is found that cariogenic activity is not increased in pregnancy, while increased normally in non-pregnant women. 6It show that in pregnant women, high risk factor found for babies with low birth weight and another risk factor is preterm birth, these all due to periodontal diseases in pregnant women.^{7,8}If we study the biochemical reports of pregnant women, we found that buffering capacity is occurred in pregnant women as compare to nonpregnant women, and we also found biochemical composition changes in pregnant women as compare to non-pregnant women. ¹9-15 Many result showed that during pregnancy in women, many biochemical alterations occurred but our concern is oral cavity biochemical changes. The objective of this study to evaluate saliva biochemical composition of pregnant women and non- pregnant women in Mirpur AJK.

^{1.} Department of Obstet and Gynae, Mohd ud din Medical College, Mirpur, AJK.

^{1.} Department of Biochemistry Department of Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur AJK

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We take for study 200 pregnant women patients and 100 health non- pregnant women. The study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and gynaecology, Mohd ud din Medical College, Mirpur, AJK and Biochemistry Department of Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur AJK. We take saliva sample of groups, pregnant women and non-pregnant women and first of determined pH of saliva of both groups by pH meter. Biochemical composition is analyzed by automatic biochemistry analyzer of the both group pregnant women and non-pregnant women.

RESULTS

The mean age and literacy did not differ significantly between pregnant and non-pregnant groups.

The result showed that decreased level of calcium if found in pregnant women (0.37 ± 0.17) as compare to non –pregnant women (0.52 \pm 0.29). It is also indicating high level of phosphate is found in pregnantwomen (5.74 ± 3.44) as compare to nonpregnant women (4.55 \pm 1.84). We also found low level saliva glucose in pregnant women (0.56 ± 0.45) as compare to non –pregnant women (3.39 \pm 4.37) during pregnancy. Low pH or acid environment of oral cavity of pregnant women (6.74 ± 0.28) found as compare to non-pregnant women (7.04 ± 0.27) . The results of sialometrical and sialochemical analysis show that salivary flow rate is high in pregnant women as compare to non-pregnant women, which is 1.99 ± 0.41 for pregnant women an 1.69 ± 0.45 for non-pregnant women. Salivary sodium levels were significantly reduced in pregnancy in comparison to non-pregnant women. Although α-amylase levels were double as high in pregnant women as compare to non-pregnant women. Statistically significant differences were not observed between pregnant and non-pregnant women.

Table No.1: Participant characteristics

	Pregnant women (n=200)	Non- pregnant women (n=100)
Age (years)	30.54 ± 5.48	30.55 ± 4.38
Education Basic Secondary University	B-50%, S-30%, U-20%	B-49%, S- 32% U-19%
Body weight (Kg)	68.1 <u>+</u> 11.4	69.4 <u>+</u> 11.5
BMI (kg/m2)	24.3 <u>+</u> 2.6	24.4 <u>+</u> 2.7

B: Basic, S: Secondary, U:University

Table No.2: Saliva biochemical composition of pregnant women and Non-pregnant women

1 8
Non-pregnant women
(n=100)
3.39 ± 4.37
0.52 ± 0.29
4.55 ± 1.84
11.77 ± 11.90
7.04 ± 0.27

Table No.3: Saliva analyses of pregnant women and Non-pregnant women

Pregnant women (n=200)	Non- pregnant women
C-1:	(n=100)
Salivary flow,	
mL/min	1.50 0.15
1.99 ± 0.41	1.69 ± 0.45
Chloride, mmol/L	
29.98 ± 19.30	34.89 ± 15.89
α-Amylase, U/L	
237.96 ± 405.65	116.89 ± 147.85

DISCUSSION

In the result we found in that the saliva of pregnant women showed acidic non -stimulated environment and we found in saliva decreased level calcium and increases level of phosphate. Result also showed decreased level of glucose during pregnancy. Many result showed that during pregnancy inwomen, many biochemical alteration occurred but our concern is oral cavity biochemical changes. 16-21 We take for study 200 pregnant women patients and 100 health non- pregnant women. The study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and gynaecology, Mohdud din Medical College, Mirpur, AJK and Biochemistry Department of Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur AJK. We take saliva sample of groups, pregnant women and non-pregnant women and first of determind pH of saliva of both groups by pH meter. Biochemical composition is analyzed by automatic biochemistry analyzer of the both group pregnant women and non- pregnant women. Muscular and skeletal systems, hematological, respiratory and cardiovascular are reflected result of alteration of hormonal changes in pregnancy such as (human chorionic gonadotropin, estrogen and progesterone). In pregnancy; the oral environment is change with alteration in physiological changes. Gingivitis in pregnancy is well known condition. The studies show that caries rate is high in pregnant women while in non

-pregnant women prevalence rate is low, it is showed that oral pathological changes are occurred in pregnant women as compare to non-pregnant women. In another study, it is found that cariogenic activity is not increased in pregnancy, while increased normally in non- pregnant women. It show that in pregnant women, high risk factor found for babies with low birth weight and another risk factor is preterm birth, these all due to periodontal diseases in pregnant women. If we study the biochemical reports of pregnant women, we found that buffering capacity is occurred in pregnant women as compare to non-pregnant women, and we also found biochemical composition changes in pregnant women as compare to non-pregnant women. Many result showed that during pregnancy in women, many biochemical alterations occurred but our concern is oral cavity biochemical changes. The acidic environment of oral cavity or decreased pH value of pregnant women is suggested due to high intake of meal daily. It is also suggested that during pregnancy the lower pH of oral cavity if pregnant caused dental caries in pregnant women.²²It is said in childhood earlystages; high level of phosphate is found in children saliva which caused caries. 23 Result showed, that low potassium level is found in pregnant women in pregnant women at third trimester as compare to non-pregnant women. In our study, we found that α-amylase levels are higher in pregnant women as compare to non-pregnant women. The result showed that decreased level of calcium if found in pregnant women (0.37 ± 0.17) as compare to non –pregnant women (0.52 \pm 0.29). It is also indicating high level of phosphate is found in pregnant women (5.74 ± 3.44) as compare to non-pregnant women (4.55 \pm 1.84). We also found low level saliva glucose in pregnant women (0.56 ± 0.45) as compare to non –pregnant women (3.39 ± 4.37) during pregnancy. Low pH or acid environment of oral cavity of pregnant (6.74 ± 0.28) found as compare to nonpregnant women (7.04 \pm 0.27). The study showed that in pregnant women the steroid hormone is increased which caused gingival inflammation, and it is also said that in pregnancy gingival bleeding is high and also increased flow of crevicular fluid.²⁴

CONCLUSION

The oral pathology or biochemical composition alteration and acidic environment of oral cavity in pregnant women caused dental caries as compare to non-pregnant women. Pregnant women should control the acid environment of oral cavity during pregnancy.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Bushra Kant

Drafting: Aisha Yousaf, Asma

Hameed

Data Analysis: Asnad

Revisiting Critically: Bushra Kant, Aisha

Yousaf

Final Approval of version: Bushra Kant

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- Graça LM. MedicinaMaterno-Fetal. Lisboa: Lidel; 2005.
- Figuero E, Carrillo-de-Albornoz A, Martin C, Tobias A, Herrera D. Effect of pregnancy on gingival inflammation in systemically healthy women: a systematic review. J ClinPeriodont 2013;40(5):457-73.
- 3. Loe H, Silness J. Periodontal Disease in Pregnancy. I. Prevalence and Severity. ActaOdontol Scand 1963;21:533-51.
- 4. Rakchanok N, Amporn D, Yoshida Y, Harun-Or-Rashid M, Sakamoto J. Dental caries and gingivitis among pregnant and non-pregnant women in Chiang Mai, Thailand. Nagoya J Med Sci 2010; 72(1-2):43-50.
- Vasiliauskiene I, Milciuviene S, Bendoraitiene E, Narbutaite J, Slabsinskiene E, Andruskeviciene V. Dynamics of pregnant women's oral health status during preventive programme. Stomatologija 2007;9(4):129-36.
- Öztürk LK, Akyüz S, Garan A, Yarat A. Salivary and Dental - Oral Hygiene Parameters in 3rd Trimester of Pregnancy and Early Lactation: The Effect of Education. Marmara Dent J 2013;1:1-8.
- 7. Parihar AS, Katoch V, Rajguru SA, Rajpoot N, Singh P, Wakhle S. Periodontal Disease: A Possible Risk-Factor for Adverse Pregnancy Outcome. J Int Oral Health 2015;7(7):137-42.
- 8. Sampaio-Maia B, Monteiro-Silva F. Acquisition and maturation of oral microbiome throughout childhood: An update. Dent Res J 2014;11(3):291-301.
- 9. Choe JK, Khan-Dawood FS, Dawood MY.Progesterone and estradiol in the saliva and plasma during the menstrual cycle. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1983;147(5):557-62.
- 10. Laine M, Leimola-Virtanen R. Effect of hormone replacement therapy on salivary flow rate, buffer effect and pH on perimenopausal and postmenopausal women. Arch Oral Biol 1996; 41(1):91-6.
- 11. Laine MA. Effect of pregnancy on periodontal and dental health. Acta Odontol Scand 2002;60(5): 257-64.
- 12. Naveen S, Asha M, Shubha ML, Bajoria AA, Jose AA. Salivary Flow Rate, pH and Buffering Capacity in Pregnant and Non Pregnant Women A Comparative Study. JMED Res 2014;2014:
- 13. Rockenbach MI, Marinho SA, Veeck EB, Lindemann L, Shinkai RS. Salivary flow rate, pH,

- and concentrations of calcium, phosphate, and sIgA in Brazilian pregnant and non-pregnant women. Head & Face Med 2006;2:44.
- 14. Rudney JD. Does variability in salivary protein concentrations influence oral microbial ecology and oral health? Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 1995;6(4):343-67.
- Salvolini E, Di Giorgio R, Curatola A, Mazzanti L, Fratto G. Biochemical modifications of human whole saliva induced by pregnancy. Br J Obstetgynaecol 1998;105(6):656-60.
- Saluja P, Shetty V, Dave A, Arora M, Hans V, Madan A. Comparative Evaluation of the Effect of Menstruation, Pregnancy and Menopause on Salivary Flow Rate, pH and Gustatory Function. J ClinDiagn Res 2014;8(10):ZC81-5.
- 17. Laine M, Pienihakkinen K. Salivary buffer effect in relation to late pregnancy and postpartum. Acta Odontol Scand 2000;58(1):8-10.
- 18. Jain K, Kaur H. Prevalence of oral lesions and measurement of salivary pH in the different trimesters of pregnancy. Singapore Med J 2015; 56(1):53-7.

- 19. Guidozzi F, Maclennan M, Graham KM, Jooste CP. Salivary calcium, magnesium, phosphate, chloride, sodium and potassium in pregnancy and labour. S Afr Med J 1992;81(3):152-4.
- 20. Bakhshi M, Sabet MS, Hashemi ES, Bakhtiari S, Tofangchiha M, Marhabi SA, et al. Evaluation of biochemical changes in unstimulated salivary, calcium, phosphorous and total protein during pregnancy. Afr J Biotechnol 2012;11(8):2078-83.
- 21. Abrao AL, Leal SC, Falcao DP. Salivary and serum cortisol levels, salivary alpha-amylase and unstimulated whole saliva flow rate in pregnant and non-pregnant. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstetr 2014;36(2):72-8.
- 22. Lukacs J. Fertility and agriculture accentuate sex differences in dental caries rates. Current Anthropol 2008;49(5):901-14.
- 23. Stookey GK. The effect of saliva on dental caries. J Am Dent Assoc 2008;139 Suppl:11S-17S.
- 24. Markou E, Eleana B, Lazaros T, Antonios K. The influence of sex steroid hormones on gingiva of women. Open Dent J 2009;3:114-9.