

Laparoscopic Hydrodissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

Imran Idris Butt¹, Rehan Anwar¹, Munawar Nadeem¹, Kamran Hamid⁴, M Sabir² and A Hamid³

ABSTRACT

Objective: To find out the safety profile of laparoscopic hydrodissection in empyema of gallbladder.

Study Design: Experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Department of Surgery at Idris Teaching Hospital Sialkot from June 2014 to July 2016.

Materials and Methods: One hundred and fifty patients of empyema of gallbladder were included in this study. All the patients were diagnosed with clinical, sonological and biochemical evidence of cholelithiasis with empyema were included in the study regardless of age and gender.

Laparoscopic hydrodissection was done by standard 4- port technique of empyema of gallbladder was used in all cases. In this technique the adhesions of empyemic gallbladder were removed by throwing water with pressure instead of using scalpel knife in all of the 150 cases. The charts were reviewed, and age, sex, family history of the empyema of gallbladder, date of surgery, surgery type, duration of surgery, post operative complications, stay in the hospital, were recorded. Patients follow up is also recorded. The results were analysed on SPSS version 10. A well informed written consent was taken from each patient prior to surgery.

Results: In our study the incidence of empyema of gallbladder disease was found maximum at the age of 30-35 years (58%) 87 cases and minimum at the age of 46-50 years (1.3%) 02 cases. Empyema of gallbladder disease was (59.3%) 89 cases in females as compared to male (40.7%) 61 cases. The disease was (42%-47.3%) 63-71 cases in middle and high gentry class as compared to low socio economic status (10.7%) 16 cases. The urban Population had (64.7%) 97 cases as compared to rural area (35.3%) 53 cases. In our study the incidence of the disease was (62%) 93 cases from diabetic patients as compared to non diabetic patients (38%) 57 cases. Fatty people had (79.3%) 119 cases of empyema gallbladder as compared to non fatty patients (20.7%) 31 cases. It was also observed that empyema of gallbladder was higher (59.3%) 89 cases in people taking fast food as compared to patients taking simple food (40.7%) 61 cases. Majority of the patients were operated between 65-95 minutes. The overall rate of post operative complications was (20%) 30 cases in successfully completed laparoscopic hydro dissection. Majority of the patients (80%) 120 cases with successful laparoscopic hydro dissection were discharged within 48-96 hours. In (10.5%) 7 patients, the stay in the hospital was extended >7 days.

Conclusion: Laparoscopic hydro dissection of empyema of gallbladder can be performed keeping in mind a slightly increased risk of complications even in the best hands. However the experience of the surgeon plays a key role in the overall outcome.

Keywords: Empyema gall bladder, laparoscopic hydrodissection, morbidity, safety

Citation of article: Butt I, Anwar R, Nadeem M, Hamid K, Sabir M, Hamid A. Laparoscopic Hydrodissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder. Med Forum 2016;27(12):86-89.

INTRODUCTION

The laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has dramatically changed the outlook of patients with symptomatic gallstone disease. Empyema of the gallbladder is a potentially fatal complication of gallstones.

¹. Department of Surgery / Anatomy² / Forensic Medicine³, Sialkot Medical College Sialkot.

⁴. Department of Surgery. Allama Iqbal Memorial Teaching Hospital Sialkot

Correspondence: Dr. Imran Idris Butt, Assistant Professor of Surgery Sialkot Medical College Sialkot.

Contact No: 0300-8611304

Email: hrd.smcs@yahoo.com

Received: September 05, 2016; Accepted: October 22, 2016

It is characterized by suppuration superimposed on acute cholecystitis. The clinical presentation of this disease is often difficult to distinguish from acute Cholecystitis¹. Features suggesting diagnosis and seriousness of this disease are few². It used to be a contraindication for LC because of fear of life-threatening complications³⁻⁷. It is also considered one of the commonest reasons for the conversion⁸. Increasing experience and technology in the field of laparoscopic surgery has brought a significant change and a number of studies have reported LC to be safe and effective option in acute cholecystitis and associated conditions like empyema of the gallbladder⁹⁻¹³. There can be various reasons and factors which can however, lead to conversion¹⁴. Obscured local anatomy, uncontrolled bleeding and damage to nearby vital structures are the common factors

responsible for conversion¹⁵. Despite various encouraging reports, the role of laparoscopic surgery in such acute conditions is still under evaluation. This study aimed to find out safety and outcome of laparoscopic hydro dissection in empyema gallbladder.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred and fifty patients of empyema of gallbladder were included in this prospective experimental study in the department of surgery at Idris Teaching Hospital Sialkot during June 2014 to July 2016. All the patients were diagnosed with clinical, sonological and biochemical evidence of cholelithiasis with empyema were included in the study regardless of age and gender.

Laparoscopic hydrodissection was done by standard 4- port technique of empyema of gallbladder was used in all cases. In this technique the adhesions of empyemic gallbladder were removed by throwing water with pressure instead of using scalpel knife in all of the 150 cases.

The charts were reviewed, and age, sex, family history of the empyema of gallbladder, date of surgery, surgery type, duration of surgery, post operative complications, stay in the hospital, were recorded. Patients follow up is also recorded. The results were analyzed on SPSS version 10. A well informed written consent was taken from each patient prior to surgery.

Inclusion criteria: All patients with clinical, sonological and biochemical evidence of cholelithiasis with empyema were included in the study regardless of age and gender.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with major medical problems in which pneumoperitoneum was thought to be unsafe and those with overwhelming sepsis were excluded from the study

RESULTS

In our study the incidence of empyema of gallbladder disease was found maximum at the age of 30-35 years (58%) 87 cases and minimum at the age of 46 and above years (1.3%) 02 cases, as shown in the table 1.

Empyema of gallbladder disease was (59.3%) 89 cases in females as compared to male (40.7%) 61 cases as shown in table no.2. The disease was (42%-47.3%) 63-71 cases in middle and high gentry class as compared to low socio economic status (10.7%) 16 cases as shown in table no.3. The urban Population had (64.7%) 97 cases as compared to rural area (35.3%) 53 cases as shown in the table no.4. In our study the incidence of the disease was (62%) 93 cases from diabetic patients as compared to non diabetic patients (38%) 57 cases as shown in table no.5. Fatty people had (79.3%) 119 cases of empyema gallbladder as compared to non fatty patients (20.7%) 31 cases as shown in table no.6. It was also observed that empyema of gallbladder was higher (59.3%) 89 cases in people taking fast food as

compared to patients taking simple food (40.7%) 61 cases as shown in table 7.

Table No. 1: Age distribution in laproscopic hydro dissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

| Sr. No. | Age | Cases | Percentage |
|---------|--------------|-------|------------|
| 01 | 30-35 | 87 | 58 |
| 02 | 36-40 | 36 | 24 |
| 03 | 41-45 | 25 | 16.7 |
| 04 | 46 and above | 02 | 1.3 |
| | Total | 150 | 100 |

Table No 2: Sex distribution in laproscopic hydro dissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

| Sr. No | Sex | Cases | Percentage |
|--------|--------|-------|------------|
| 01 | Male | 61 | 40.7 |
| 02 | Female | 89 | 59.3 |
| | Total | 150 | 100 |

Table No 3: Socio economic status distribution in laproscopic hydro dissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

| Sr. No. | Socio economic status | Cases | Percentage |
|---------|-----------------------|-------|------------|
| 01 | High | 71 | 47.3 |
| 02 | Middle | 63 | 42 |
| 03 | Low | 16 | 10.7 |
| | Total | 150 | 100 |

Table No.4: Area distribution in laproscopic hydro dissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

| Sr. No. | Area | Cases | Percentage |
|---------|-------|-------|------------|
| 01 | Urban | 97 | 64.7 |
| 02 | Rural | 53 | 35.3 |
| | Total | 150 | 100 |

Table No.5: Diabetic/Non Diabetic distribution in laproscopic hydro dissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

| Sr. No. | Diabetic/Non Diabetic | Cases | Percentage |
|---------|-----------------------|-------|------------|
| 01 | Diabetic | 93 | 62 |
| 02 | Non Diabetic | 57 | 38 |
| | Total | 150 | 100 |

Table No.6: Fatty/ Non Fatty Patients distribution in laproscopic hydro dissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

| Sr. No | Fatty/ Non Fatty | Cases | Percentage |
|--------|------------------|-------|------------|
| 01 | Fatty | 119 | 79.3 |
| 02 | Non Fatty | 31 | 20.7 |
| | Total | 150 | 100 |

Majority of the patients were operated between 65-95 minutes. The overall rate of post operative complications was (20%) 30 cases in successfully

completed laparoscopic hydro dissection. Majority of the patients (80%) 120 cases with successful laparoscopic hydro dissection were discharged within 48-96 hours. In (10.5%) 7 patients, the stay in the hospital was extended 5-7 days.

Table No.7: Fast Food/ Simple Food distribution in laparoscopic hydro dissection in Empyema of Gall Bladder

| Sr. No. | Fast Food/ Simple Food | Cases | Percentage |
|---------|------------------------|-------|------------|
| 01 | Fast Food | 89 | 59.3 |
| 02 | Simple Food | 61 | 40.7 |
| | Total | 150 | 100 |

DISCUSSION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has become a preferred and acceptable choice even in the most difficult situations associated with complicated gallstone disease¹⁸. The earlier arguments¹⁹ as to its safety and efficacy are being answered by a number of encouraging reports⁹⁻¹⁷ and more and more laparoscopic surgeons are persuaded to perform LC in acute cholecystitis as suggested by Hunter²⁰ "to get it while its Hot". Very few reports have specifically assessed safety of LC in empyema of the gallbladder. This study presents the details of 150 laparoscopic hydro dissection performed in empyema gallbladder within 24h of the admission to assess the safety and suitability of laparoscopic hydro dissection approach in this condition. The difficulties that we encountered in dissection in the area of Calot's triangle are more or less the same as mentioned by other similar studies. The nature of the study population must also be known as suggested by Gouma²¹. The study population in this report is mainly from high and middle socio-economic background, coming from urban areas of Sialkot as shown in table 4. The ratio of disease was double in diabetic patients as compared to non diabetic patients as shown in table 5. The operation was technically difficult due to fibrosis and firm adhesions. These are the common factors producing distortion of local anatomy²²⁻²³ clear display and identification of the anatomy of Calot's triangle before cutting or applying clips. The laparoscopic hydro dissection was preceded with extreme caution and gentle separation of the adhesion was done. Duodenum was identified and be gently pushed down to avoid injury. The use of diathermy was minimal to ensure patients safety. We decompressed the distended gallbladder before proceeding to Calot's triangle to facilitate dissection. Tseng et al²⁴. have also favored this procedure to make surgery safe and easier. Another way of handling such life threatening situations is to perform subtotal cholecystectomy after removal of all the stones to ensure safety of patients life instead of continuing dissection in the frozen Calot's triangle with totally

obscured anatomy. The rate of major complications is not significant in current study as to preclude the laparoscopic hydro dissection approach in this condition but there should always be a word of caution while operating on such difficult conditions. This is consistent with the findings of Hobbs et al²⁵ claiming that increased risk of complications with LC has stabilized. There is always a risk of common bile duct (CBD) injury if the operating surgeon is impatient and anatomy of the field is not clearly displayed before clipping and cutting. Undue use of diathermy is also a major factor in causing CBD injury and should be avoided in the area of Calot's triangle. Both did well in the postoperative period. Laparoscopic hydro dissection in empyema has shown less morbidity and no mortality in our study. The analysis of our study and literature review has shown that this procedure was associated with less intraoperative blood loss, shorter hospital stay, less wound infection and less postoperative pain.

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopic hydro dissection is a safe and acceptable option in empyema of gallbladder. There are, however, significant technical difficulties due to edema, adhesions and distorted anatomy in the area of Calot's triangle. The experience of the surgeon plays a vital role.

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

1. Chua CL, Cheah SL, Chew KH. Empyema of gallbladder. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1988; 17:447-50.
2. Chow WC, Ong CL, Png JC, Rauff A. Gall bladder empyema-another good reason for early cholecystectomy. *J R Coll Surg Edinb* 1993;38: 213-5.
3. Larson GM, Vitale GS, Casey J, Evans JS, Gilliam G, Heuser L, et al. Multipractice analysis of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 1,983 patients. *Ann J Surg* 1992;163:221-6.
4. Nottle PD. Percutaneous laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Indications, contra-indications and complications. *Aust NZJ Surg* 1992;62: 188-92.
5. Dubois F, Levard H, Berthelot G, Mouro J, Karayel M. Complications of celioscopic cholecystectomy in 2006 patients. *Ann Chir* 1994; 48:899-904.
6. Reddick EJ, Olsen D, Spaw A, Baird D, Asbun H, O'Reilly M, et al. Safe performance of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg* 1991; 161:377-81.
7. Schimmer BD, Edge SB, Dix J, Hyser MJ, Hanks JB, Jones RS. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A

- choice for symptomatic cholelithiasis. *Ann Surg* 1991;213:665-77.
8. Koperna T, Kisser M, Schulz F. Laparoscopic versus open treatment of patients with acute cholecystitis. *Hepato-gastroenterol* 1999;46:753-7.
 9. Miller RE, Kimmelstiel FM. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *Surg Endosc* 1993;7:296-9.
 10. Wilson RG, Macintyre IM, Nixon SJ, Saunders JH, Varma JS, King PM. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy as a safe and effective treatment for severe acute cholecystitis. *Br Med J* 1992;305:394-6.
 11. Al Salamah SM. Outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2005;15:400-3.
 12. Laine S, Gullichsen R, Rantala A, Ovaska J. Laparoscopic removal of the acutely inflamed gall bladder. *Ann Chir Gynaecol* 1996;85:213-5.
 13. Pisanu A, Altana ML, Cois A, Uccheddu A. Urgent cholecystitis: Laparoscopy or Laparotomy? *G Chir* 2001;22:93-100.
 14. Eldar S, Sabo E, Nash E, Abrahamson J, Matter L. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for various types of gallbladder inflammation. A prospective trial. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 1998;8:200-7.
 15. Cox MR, Wilson TG, Luck AJ, Jeans PL, Padbury RT, Toouli J. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute inflammation of gallbladder. *Ann Surg.* 1995;218:630-4.
 16. Sing K, Ohri A. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy - Is there a need to convert? *J Min Access Surg.* 2005;1:59-62.
 17. Cuschieri A, Dubois F, Moviel J, Mouret P, Becker H, Buess G, et al. The European experience with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg.* 1991;161:385-7.
 18. Peters JH, Ellison EC, Innes JT, Liss JL, Nichols KE, Lomano JM, et al. Safety and efficacy of laparoscopic cholecystectomy prospective analysis of 100 initial patients. *Ann Surg.* 1991;213:3-12.
 19. Hunt DR, Chu FC. Gangrenous cholecystitis in the laparoscopic era. *Aust N Z J Surg* 2000;70:428-30.
 20. Hunter JG. Acute cholecystitis revisited. Get it while it's hot. *Ann Surg* 1998;227:468-9.
 21. Gouma DJ. Conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 2006;93:905-6.
 22. Greenwald JA, McMullen HF, Coppa GF, Newman RM. Standardization of surgeon-controlled variables. Impact on outcome in patients with acute cholecystitis. *Ann Surg* 2000;231:339-44.
 23. Koo KP, Thirley RC. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. What is the optimal timing for operation? *Arch Surg* 1996;131:540-5.
 24. Tseng LJ, Tsai CC, Mo LR, Lin RC, Kuo JY, Chang KK, et al. Palliative percutaneous transhepatic drainage of gallbladder empyema before laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Hepato-gastroenterol* 2000;47:932-6.
 25. Hobbs MS, Mai Q, Knuiman MW, Fletcher DR, Ridout SC. Surgeon experience and trends in intraoperative complications in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 2006;93:844-53.

Electronic Copy