Original Article

Version and Frequency of Cesarean Section in Patients

C. Section after External Cephalic Version

Wajiha Mehwish¹, Saliha Farooq², Sabahat Khan³, Nousheen Ghaffar⁴, Shagufta Khizar⁴ and Nasreen Hamid⁵

ABSTRACT

Objective: Frequency of success in patients undergoing external cephalic version and assesses rate of C-section after successful external cephalic version.

Study Design: Descriptive Case Series.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, M. Islam Medical College Gujranwala from October 2018 to March 2019.

Materials and Methods: Seventy patients with gestational ages between 34 to 40 weeks were included. Patients underwent external cephalic version in labour room by a single consultant.

Results: 58% patients underwent successful external cephalic version and 41% patient's external cephalic version were not successful. Among patients undergoing successful external cephalic version, 39% were delivered by cesarean section and 61% were delivered by spontaneous vaginal delivery. There was no maternal and fetal complication noted.

Conclusion: External cephalic version is a safe and effective treatment modality with high rate of success and also effective for reducing the rate of cesarean sections.

Key Words: External cephalic version, Frequency, Cesarean section

Citation of article: Mehwish W, Farooq S, Khan S, Ghaffar N, Khizar S, Hamid N. Success of External Cephalic Version and Frequency of Cesarean Section in Patients. Med Forum 2019;30(10): 102-105.

INTRODUCTION

External cephalic version (ECV) is a procedure in which a fetus that is lying in a breech position is turned so that the head enters the birth canal first. External cephalic version is considered safe and effective method of turning the baby from breech to head first. It is very useful and effective methods for reducing the frequency term breech delivery also helpful for reducing the complications associated to term breech presentation. In developing and developed countries ECV is considered effective for reducing the rate of cesarean delivery. ECV

- ^{1.} Department of Obst & Gynay, M. Islam Medical College, Gujranwala.
- ^{2.} Department of Obst & Gynay, RMDC, Lahore.
- ^{3.} Department of Obst & Gynay, FMH, Lahore.
- ⁴ Department of Obst & Gynay, Sabzazar Hospital Lahore.
- ⁵ Department of Obst & Gynay, SMC Sialkot

Correspondence: Dr. Wajiha Mehwish Assistant Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, M. Islam Medical College Gujranwala.

Contact No: 0332 8322816 Email: piffers2121@gmail.com

Received: January, 2019 Accepted: March, 2019 Printed: October, 2019 Breech presentation is associated with increase rate of morbidity and mortality, it complicates 3 to 4% of term deliveries. It is a most common risk factor of preterm deliveries. From last two decades the rate of C-sections has been increased due to breech presentation. Globally the rate of cesarean deliveries is increases due to breech presentation.⁵ Several studies demonstrated that External Cephalic Version is safe and effective for rate cesarean reducing the of Approximately 8% of primigravid women has spontaneous version rate after 36 weeks of gestation.⁷ Success rates of ECV is accounted 30 to 80% and less than 5% of women after successful ECV has reversion of spontaneous breech presentation.^{8,9}

The success rates for ECV vary widely but ranges from 35-86% (average 58%). Approximately 47% of women whom had received ECV had a cephalic presentation at birth. Studies reported that multiparous women had a high rate of successful ECV as compared to nulliparous.¹¹ The success of ECV depends upon various factors. Race, parity, uterine tone, liquor volume, engagement of breech, whether the head is palpable and the use of tocolysis all effects the success rate of ECV.¹² The success rate of ECV is increased by the use iftocolysis and increase in success rate is evident with epidural but not with spinal analgesia. 13 External Cephalic Version has fewer rate of complications. No major complications have been reported due to ECV. Many of previous studies reported that ECV procedure is very safe and effective

for lowering the rate of cesarean deliveries with no major complications. 14

This study focuses on the success of ECV and reducing the frequency of cesarean sections in these pts. Thus decreasing the morbidity, expenditure and hospital stay of the patients..

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This descriptive case series was carried out at Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, M. Islam Medical College Gujranwala from 1st October 2018 to 31st March 2019. Seventy pregnant females with breech presentation undergoing ECV were included. Patients 20-35 years of age with any parity, gestational age between 34-40 weeks, breech presentation, singleton pregnancy, thin and relax abdominal wall were included. Women with placenta previa, confirmed on scan, history of anti-partum hemorrhage, IUGR, significant fetal anomalies, ruptured membranes, elective cesarean section is indicated and previous one cesarean section were excluded. Patients were explained aims, methods, benefits and potential hazards of the study. Subjects were informed that their participation was voluntarly and that they may withdraw at any time during the study. An informed consent was taken. The patient was instructed to empty her bladder first and then was allowed to rest and relax on the couch with a mild degree of head down tilt. The whole procedure was explained to the patient in a sympathetic manner to allay her anxiety. An USG was performed to confirm the presenting part, fetal cardiac activity and location of placenta. Fetal wellbeing was assessed by NST. The breech was then held in right hand while the left hand was placed over the fetal head. A sustained pressure was applied by both hands simultaneosly in the direction which would promote fetal flexion and simultaneously rotating the fetus. After that, the attitude of the fetus was maintained manually for few minutes. No analgesia, anesthesia or sedation will be used during the procedure. After that, an USG was performed to confirm the fetal position. CTG was performed to assess the fetal well being. The patient was made to lie on the couch for about 15-30min. If NST was fine and the patient was stable, she was sent and followed in OPD after one week to confirm the presenting part. She was counselled about signs and symptoms of labor. Her labour was monitored and maternal outcome was noted in the form of cesarean section. The data was analysed using SPSS-20..

RESULTS

The parity and gestational age of patients undergoing external cephalic version showed in Tables 1-2. There were 41 (58.5%) patients were successful external cephalic version and 29 (41.5%) were failed external cephalic version (Table 3). Regarding mode of delivery in patients with successful external version, 16 (39%)

patients were caesarean delivery and 25 (61%) normal vaginal delivery (Table 4).

Table No. 1: Parity of patients undergoing ECV (=70)

Parity	No.	%
PG	30	42.9
Para 1	19	27.1
Para 2	11	15.7
Para 3	5	7.1
Para 4	4	5.7
Para 5	1	1.5

Table No.2: Patients undergoing ECV according to gestational age (n=70)

Gestational age (weeks	No.	%
34-36	45	64.3
37 – 38	23	32.8
39-40	2	2.9

Table No.3: Results of ECG (n=70)

ECV	No.	%
Successful	41	58.5
Failed	29	41.5

Table No.4: Mode of delivery in pts with successful ECV (n=41)

Mode of delivery	No.	%
Cesarean delivery	16	39.0
Normal vaginal delviery	25	61.0

DISCUSSION

External cephalic version is one of the safest procedures of decreasing the number of cesarean section due to breech presentation. Success rates will be higher when the pt. presents one or more good prognostic factors, as described previously. ¹⁵ Globally, ECV is considered a cost effective procedure in the management of breech presentation at term; however there is a wide variation in the success rate, with a range between 30-80%, the ECV technique has remained unchanged for many generations without any modifications. ¹⁶

In the present study, success of ECV was about 58%. This observation is similar to those of Ranjon¹⁷, Wise et al¹⁸, but differ from Ben-Meir et al¹⁹ and Rauf et al.²⁰ On the other hand, the success rate of ECV in this study was higher than those done by Nassar et al²¹ and Zeck et al.²²

In this study, among the successful ECVs, 61% were delivered vaginally which differ from the study of Zeck eta 1²² and Wise et al¹⁸, who reported much more cases who deliver vaginally after successful ECV. As discussed earlier, in this study following successful ECV, spontaneous vaginal delivery was attained by 61% and 39% underwent cesarean section due to various indications, which was slightly different from the study done at Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar, which shows that after successful ECV, spontaneous vaginal delivery was attained in 77.7% of the pts.²⁰

As far as the parity in success of ECV is concerned, this study shows that ECV was more successful in multi gravidas, i.e. 76% as compared to nulliparous women which was just 24%. These findings were slightly different from those of Ben-Meir et al¹⁹ in which success rates were 72.3% and 46.1% in multi-paras and nulli-paras respectively.

There were no complications related to ECV in this study, as also seen in the study of Grootscholten et al²³, but in the study of Flamm et al²⁴, there was a risk of detectable feto-maternal hemorrhage during ECV in 2.4% of cases and in the study of Collins et al²⁵, there was 0.5% risk of emergency cesarean section after the procedure.

The study also shows that beginning of ECV between 34-35 wks may have some benefit in terms of decreasing the rate of non-cephalic presentation and cesarean section, as also shown in the study of Hutton EK & Hofmeyr GJ.²⁶.

CONCLUSION

External cephalic version is very useful and effective method for reducing the rate of cesarean deliveries. We concluded from this study that the rate to successful External cephalic version rate is 58% and it is satisfactory and comparable to other studies. Also we found that after ECV the rate of normal vaginal deliveries was high and this procedure concluded safe and effective for reducing the rate of C-sections. No major complication and mortality was recorded.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Wajiha Mehwish

Drafting: Saliha Farooq, Sabahat Khan

Data Analysis: Nousheen Ghaffar,

Shagufta Khizar, Nasreen Hamid Wajiha Mehwish Saliha Farooq

Final Approval of version: Wajiha Mehwish

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

Revisiting Critically:

- Wight W. External cephalic version. In: Halpern SH, Joanne DM, editors. Evidence-based obstetric anesthesia. Philadelphia: John Wiley & Sons; 2008.p.217–24.
- Clay LS, Criss K, Jackson UC. External cephalic version. J Nurse Midwifery 1993;38(2 Suppl): 72S-9.
- Rauf B, Mehr-un-Nisa, Hassan L. ECV for breech presentation at term. J Coll Phys Surg Pak 2007; 17:550-3

- 4. Weill Y, Pollack RN. The efficacy and safety of external cephalic version after a previous caesarean delivery. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2017;57(03): 323–6.
- Keepanasseril A, Anand K, Soundara Raghavan S. Matched cohort study of external cephalic version in women with previous cesarean delivery. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2017;138(01):79–83.
- 6. Hofmeyr GJ, Kulier R, West HM. External cephalic version for breech presentation at term. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;(4):CD000083.
- 7. Beuckens A, Rijnders M, Verburgt-Doeleman GH, Rijninks-van Driel GC, Thorpe J, Hutton EK. An observational study of the success and complications of 2546 external cephalic versions in low-risk pregnant women performed by trained midwives. BJOG 2015;123:415–23.
- de Hundt M, Velzel J, de Groot CJ, Mol BW, Kok M. Mode of delivery after successful external cephalic version: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol 2014;123:1327–34.
- 9. Velzel J, de Hundt M, Mulder FM, Molkenboer JF, Van der Post JA, Mol BW, et al. Prediction models for successful external cephalic version: a systematic review. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2015;195:160–7.
- Cluver C, Gyte GM, Sinclair M, Dowswell T, Hofmeyr GJ. Interventions for helping to turn term breech babies to head first presentation when using external cephalic version. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;(2):CD000184.
- 11. Chaudhary S, Contag S, Yao R. The impact of maternal body mass index on external cephalic version success. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2019; 32:2159.
- 12. Thissen D, Swinkels P, Dullemond RC, van der Steeg JW. Introduction of a dedicated team increases the success rate of external cephalic version: A prospective cohort study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2019; 236:193.
- 13. Isakov O, Reicher L, Lavie A, Yogev Y, Maslovitz S. Prediction of success in external cephalic version for breech presentation at term. Obstet Gynecol 2019; 133:857.
- 14. Boucher M, Bujold E, Marquette GP, Vezina Y. The relationship between amniotic fluid index & successful ECV: a 14-year experience. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;189:751-4.
- 15. Hofmeyr GJ. Interventions to help ECV for breech presentation at term. Cochrane Database Sys Rev 2004;(1):CD000184.
- Impey L, Pandit M. Tocolysis for repeat ECV after a failed version for breech presentation at term, a randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial. BJOG 2005;112:627-31
- 17. Ranjon S. Breech presentation & delivery. Manual Rotation ECV. 2009.

- 18. Wise MR, Sadler L, Ansell D. Successful but limited use of ECV in Aukland. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2008;48(5):467-72
- 19. Ben-Meir A, Elram T, Tsafrir A, Elchalal U, Ezra Y. Incidence of spontaneous version after failed ECV. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 2007;196(2):157.el-3.
- Rauf R, Mehr-un-Nisa, Hassan L. External cephalic version for breech presentation at term. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2007;17(9):550-3.
- 21. Nassar N, Roberts CL, Cameron CA, Peat B. Outcome of ECV & breech presentation at term, an audit of deliveries at a Sydney tertiary obstetric hospital, 1997-2004. Acta Obstet Gynaecol Scand 2006;85(10):1231-8.
- 22. Zeck W, Walcher W, Lang U. External cephalic version in singleton pregnancies at term; a

- retrospective analysis. Gynaecol Obstet Invest 2008; 66:18-21.
- 23. Grootscholten K, Kok M, Oei SG, Mol BW, Van der Post JA. External cephalic verison-related risks: a meta-analysis. Obstet Gynaecol 2008; 112(5):1143-51
- 24. Flamm BL, Fried MW, Lonky NM, Giles WS. External cephalic version after previous cesarean section. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 1991;165(2):370-2.
- 25. Collaris RJ. Oei SG. External cephalic verison: a safe procedure? A systemic review of version-related risks. Acta Obstet Gynaecol Scand 2004; 83:511-8.
- Hofmeyr GJ, Sadan O, Myer IG, Galal KC, Simko G. External cephalic version and spontaneous version rates: ethnic and other determinants. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1986;93:13-6.