Original Article

# **Efficacy of Single Dose Intravenous** Ondansetron vs Metoclopramide in Children with Acute Gastroenteritis with **Vomiting**

Efficacy of Ondansetron and Metoclopramide in Children with Gastroenteritis with Vomiting

Afzal Khan<sup>1</sup>, Quratulain<sup>2</sup>, Hina Pervez<sup>1</sup>, Sohail Akhtar<sup>1</sup>, Inayatullah<sup>1</sup> and Fareed Ullah Shah<sup>1</sup>

# **ABSTRACT**

Objective: To compare the efficacy of ondansetron and metoclopramide in children with gastroenteritis with vomiting.

Study Design: cross-sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Pediatric Unit, Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar from May, 2019 to November, 2019.

Materials and Methods: A total of 112 cases were enrolled and two groups made. Children in group A were treated with rehydration therapy and metoclopramide for vomiting whereas children in group B were treated with rehydration therapy plus ondansetron. Patients were monitored for vomiting at one and 06 hours of administration of antiemetic drugs.

**Results:** Of the total 112 cases, 66 (58.9 %) were male, 46 (41.1 %) were female patients. Mean age was  $3.45 \pm 1.70$ years. Mean BMI was 22.32 ± 1.13 kg/m<sup>2</sup> while 15 (13.4 %) of cases were obese. History of breastfeeding was noted in 73 (65.2%). Mean duration of illness was  $4.24 \pm 2.15$  days, 106(64.6%) had a total duration of 7 days. Of total cases 81 (72.3 %) were vaccinated, 31 (27.7 %) unvaccinated. Out of 112 cases, 100 responded well to treatment, in Group A the efficacy was 46 (82.1%) whereas in group B it was 54 (96.4%)

Conclusion: Ondansetron was found to be safe, efficacious, for treating children with acute gastroenteritis with vomiting. And having a higher efficacy than metoclopramide, hence it is concluded that ondansetron can be safely given to children with acute gastroenteritis with vomiting

**Key Words:** Acute gastroenteritis, Efficacy, Ondansetron

Citation of article: Khan A, Quratulain, Pervez H, Akhtar S, Inayatullah, Ullah Shah F. Efficacy of Single Dose Intravenous Ondansetron vs Metoclopramide in Children with Acute Gastroenteritis with Vomiting. Med Forum 2023;34(3):80-84.

## INTRODUCTION

Acute gastroenteritis contributes to a majority of pediatric emergency visits and contributes significantly to morbidity and mortality worldwide 1. According to an estimate by WHO and UNICEF around 2.5 billion episodes of diarrheal illnesses occurs in under 5 children each year with a mortality of 1.5 million children globally.<sup>2, 3</sup> It was estimated that aorounf 3.6 diarrheal episodes occur per child in a year, that accounts for 13% of all childhood DALYs1.

<sup>1.</sup> Department of Pediatric Unit, MTI LRH Peshawar.

Correspondence: Dr. Inayatullah, Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Unit, MTI LRH Peshawar. Contact No: 03349218424

Email: kinayatullah638@gmail.com

Received: October, 2022 January, 2023 Accepted: Printed: March, 2023

In our country, Pakistan, on average every child suffers from 5 to 6 episodes of diarrhea per year and about 500 children die daily.<sup>2, 4</sup> According to the PDHS 2012-13, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has the highest prevalence of acute gastroenteritis under five years of age 28%, followed by Sindh 23% and Punjab 22% and is lowest in Baluchistan 12%.4

Vomiting is usually seen and is very bothersome symptom in children presenting with gastroenteritis, but physician's opinion regarding its treatment varies<sup>5</sup>. However, in the routine healthcare setups antiemetic medicines are commonly prescribed in pediatric population with gastroenteritis. Antiemetics commonly prescribed to patients with acute gastroenteritis by physicians, around 2 to 23% of children were treated with antiemetics with a rationale that vomiting may limit the use of oral rehydration therapy.<sup>5, 7</sup>.

In acute gastroenteritis persistent vomiting is a very common cause of admission in hospitals, cessation of vomiting in these children can help in lowering the frequency of hospital admissions hence leading to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2.</sup> Ali Medical Center Islamabad

successful ORT that is a better practice for rehydrating the children and is also preferred by WHO <sup>8</sup>.

Apart from clinical uses, treatment of children with gastroenteritis as outpatients in homes is proved to have socioeconomic benefits for both family as well as community, owing to not admitting the patient in hospital. High hospital bills, difficulty in caring for parents if employed are also a burden for the hospital as it results in more occupation of hospital, decrease in nursing staff and lesser hospital tools. These are a few of issues faced because of higher frequency of hospital admissions for patients with diarrhea and vomiting.9 Implication of pharmacological intervention for consistent nausea and vomiting can ward off threatening complications which may occur as a result of dehydration.<sup>5,6,8</sup> pharmacological agents used for this purpose bromopride, promethazine, are: dimenhydramine, domperidone, metoclopramide and ondansetron. Their acceptance is limited because of unsatisfactory efficacy (bromopride, domperidone) or effects (promethazine, dimenhydramine, metoclopramide) 6,8,10.

In our institution metoclopramide and diphenhydramine are used for vomiting in children. As discussed earlier there is always the risk of its side effects and parent's anxiety. An anti-emetic which is safe and efficacious will offer the best option for this purpose. Ondansetron has been widely used for vomiting related to chemotherapy, radiation therapy and procedures and is found safe and efficacious. However its routine use in acute gastroenteritis in children is not recommended. Studies have been performed and shown its efficacy in acute gastroenteritis in children<sup>5, 6, 7, and 8</sup>. Epifanio M et al<sup>10</sup> in their study found that ondansetron was effective in stopping vomiting in 100% at 01 hour and 98.3% at six hours of treatment compared to metoclopramide which was successful only in 94.8% at one hour and 84.4% at six hours in children with acute gastroenteritis with vomiting. Similar studies performed on efficacy of ondansetron showed results with efficacy in 81.1% of patients in Al-Ansari K et al study<sup>11</sup>, 84% in Marzuillo P et al study<sup>12</sup> and 91% Mahram M et al

As persistent vomiting is the most common reason for admission in children presenting with acute gastroenteritis, so a secure and productive drug will be very beneficial in early discharge from emergency department and will decrease the admission burden and use of health facility use. This research is designed to determine the efficacy of ondansetron with comparison to metoclopramide in stopping vomiting, and if found superior to metoclopramide, then its results will be shared with other local pediatricians, pediatric emergency department consultants and hospital administration for its routine use which will decrease admission burden, a major problem in our clinical settings.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

It was Descriptive cross-sectional study conducted at Department of Pediatrics, Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar from 05-05-2019 to 05-11-2019. A total 112 (56 in each group) patients of both gender, Children with acute gastroenteritis with vomiting Age 01 to 15 years were included for the study. An informed consent was taken from their parents or relatives after explaining the importance, risks and benefits of the study and treatment guidelines. The exclusion criteria was Children with acute bloody diarrhea, Children with persistent diarrhea (more than 02 weeks), Children with functional disorder like cyclical vomiting syndrome, abdominal migraine diagnosed on history and clinical examination, Children with systemic illness (diagnosed on history, examination and laboratory tests), Children with vomiting due to other cause like acute hepatitis, pancreatitis, intestinal obstruction, meningitis etc. diagnosed on history, examination and laboratory work up. The above mentioned conditions act as confounders and if included will introduce bias in the study results. Detailed history of present complaints, disease duration, signs and symptoms, previous medication, systemic review, feed if taken and vomiting. Important data of every child was recorded. Physical examination of every child was done and was looked for signs of dehydration. All children were randomly allocated in to two groups by lottery method. children with group A were given current recommended standard therapy for hydration and metoclopramide (by slow intravenous injection at a dose of 0.2 mg/kg/stat dose over 3 minutes with dilution of 0.5 mg/ml of normal saline) and group B was given standard rehydration therapy plus ondansetron (by slow intravenous injection at a dose of 0.2 mg/kg/stat dose over 3 minutes with dilution up to 0.5 mg/ml of normal saline) as antiemetic in place of metoclopramide. Patients were monitored for vomiting at one and 06 hours of administration of antiemetic drugs. The above mentioned information was recorded in a pre-designed proforma following the strictly exclusion criteria to control the confounders and bias in results.

SPSS version 20 was used for data analysis. Mean  $\pm$  SD was calculated for numerical variables like age of the child, weight, duration of diarrhea, frequency of vomiting and stools at arrival. Frequency and percentages was calculated for categorical variables like gender, breast feeding, vaccination and efficacy (vomiting settled).

Chi square test used to compare the efficacy of both groups, keeping p value <0.05 as significant. Effect modifiers like gender, age, weight, breastfeeding and vaccination were controlled by stratification to see their effect on efficacy in both groups. After stratification chi – square test applied keeping the level of significance ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

### RESULTS

A total of 112 participants were enrolled strictly following the inclusion criteria, out of these cases male patients were 66(58.9%) whereas female was 46(41.1%). The mean age was  $3.45 \pm 1.70$  years however the minimum age of the participants was 1 years while the maximum age was 8 years. Of the male participants the mean age was noted to be  $3.27 \pm 1.47$  years whereas the mean age of female participants was  $3.70 \pm 1.98$  years (p=0.199). major portion of our cases i.e., 102 (91.1 %) were aged upto 5 years.

Patients that belong to rural areas constitute 50 (44.6 %) of the total patients whereas those belonged to urban areas constitute 62 (55.4 %). Patients belong to Poor socioeconomic status was 66 (58.9%) and 46 (41.1%) were from middle income families. Mean BMI of the participants was  $22.32 \pm 1.13 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and obesity was present in 15 (13.4 %) of all cases. Of these 112 study cases, history of breastfeeding was noted in 73 (65.2%) and mean disease duration was  $4.24 \pm 2.15$  days and 106 (64.6%) had duration of illness up to 7 days. Of these 112 study cases, 81 (72.3 %) were vaccinated children and 31 (27.7 %) were unvaccinated. Efficacy was noted in 100 (89.3%), efficacy in group A was 46 (82.1%) and in group B efficacy was noted to be in 54 (96.4%) of our study cases and efficacy was stratified with regards to gender, age, residential status, socioeconomic status, obesity, disease duration, breastfeeding and vaccination status. (Table No. 1-9).

Table No.1: Distribution of study cases by Efficacy (n = 112)

Efficacy	Group A		Group B	
(n=112)	Frequency	%age	Frequency	%age
Yes n= 100 (89.3 %)	46	82.1	54	96.4
No n= 12 (10.7 %)	10	17.9	02	3.6
Total	56	100	56	100

<sup>\*</sup> p = 0.029, which is statistically significant

Table No.2: Stratification of gender with regards to efficacy in both groups (n = 112)

efficacy in both groups (n = 112)					
		Gro	P _		
Gender	Efficacy	Group	Group	r – value	
		Α	В	varue	
Male	Yes (n=34)	28	06	0.025	
(n=66)	No (n=32)	32	00		
Female (n=46)	Yes (n=22)	18	04	0.405	
(11–40)	No (n=24)	22	02		

Table No.3: Stratification of age with regards to efficacy in both groups (n = 112)

	groups (	Groups		P –	
Age	Efficacy	Group	Group	value	
		Α	В		
Up to 5	Yes	42	50		
Years	(n=92)	42	30	0.049	
(n=102)	No (n=10)	08	02		
More than	Yes(n=08)	04	04		
5 Years	No	02	00	0.467	
(n=10)	(n=02)	02	00		

Table No.4: Stratification of residential status with regards to efficacy in both groups (n=112)

Residential		Groups		P –
status	Efficacy	Group	Group	value
status		A	В	value
Rural	Yes	18	26	
	(n=44)	10	20	0.008
(n=50)	No	06	00	0.008
	(n=06)	00	00	
	Yes	28	28	
Urban	(n=56)	20	20	0.672
(n=62)	No	04	02	0.072
	(n=06)	04	02	

Table No.5: Stratification of socioeconomic status with regards to efficacy in both groups (n=112)

With regards to	·	Gro	ups	
Socioeconomic status	Efficacy	Group A	Group B	P – value
Poor (n-66)	Yes (n=56)	26	30	0.084
Poor (n=66)	No (n=10)	08	02	0.064
Middle Income	Yes (n=44)	20	24	0.223
(n=46)	No (n=02)	02	00	0.223

Table No.6: Stratification of obesity with regards to efficacy in both groups (n=112)

		Groups	D	
Obesity	Efficacy	Group A	Group B	value
Yes (n=15)	Yes (n=13)	06	07	0.467
	No (n=02)	02	00	
No	Yes (n=87)	40	47	0.051
(n=97)	No (n=10)	08	02	

Table No.7: Stratification of disease breastfeeding with regards to efficacy in both groups (n = 112)

Breast-		Groups		Р –
feeding	Efficacy	Group A	Group B	value
Yes (n=73)	Yes (n=61)	28	33	0.026
	No (n=12)	10	02	0.026
No. (n=20)	Yes (n=39)	18	21	NA
No (n=39)	No (n=)	00	00	INA

Table No.8: Stratification of disease duration with regards to efficacy in both groups (n=112)

1084145 00 01	riede y mi sou	- 8 (-	,	
Disease		Gro	oups	P _
duration	Efficacy	Group	Group	value
duration		A	В	varue
Up to 7	Yes	44	50	
days	(n=96)	44	52	0.050
(n=106)	No (n=10)	08	02	
More than	Yes	02	02	
7 days	(n=04)	02	02	0.467
(n=06)	No (n=02)	02	00	

Table No.9: Stratification of vaccination with regards to efficacy in both groups (n=112)

	Efficacy	Groups		P –
Vaccination		Group A	Group B	value
Yes	Yes (n=72)	34	38	
\(n=81)	No (n=09)	08	01	0.030
No (n=31)	Yes (n=28)	12	16	0.576
	No (n=03)	02	01	0.576

#### DISCUSSION

Sustainable Development Goals SDG 3.2 aim to reduce the rate of preventable deaths in children<sup>13</sup>

Acute gastroenteritis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in children, various risk factors increases the likelihood of acute gastroenteritis, such as age, gender, geographic location, drinking unhygienic water, uncooked food, and family socioeconomic status.<sup>14</sup>

Mainstay of therapy in acute gastroenteritis remains correction of fluids and electrolytes imbalance

According to WHO, oral rehydration solution ORS is the preferred choice of therapy. Severe dehydration may lead to electrolyte imbalance including sodium, potassium, bicarbonate etc. that needs meticulous care and early intervention, if left untreated can results in serious manifestations.<sup>15</sup>

The research comprised of 112 patients. 66 (58.9 %) out of 112 patients were male and 46 (41.1 %) were females. Research carried out by Moyo et al <sup>15</sup> shows 61.4 % male preponderance consistent with our study. Bilal et al <sup>16</sup> has male gender predominance with 61.3%.

The mean age of participants was  $3.45 \pm 1.7$  years, mean age of male participants was  $3.27 \pm 1.47$  years, for females it was  $3.70 \pm 1.98$  years (p 0.199). 102(91.1%) of the cases were aged up to 5 years. Moyo et al  $^{15}$  found the similar results in his study. Bilal et al  $^{16}$  in his study stated that mean age of children was  $1.9 \pm 1.4$  years having acute gastroenteritis. Ijaz et al  $^{17}$  stated 2.18 years mean age of participants, consistent with our findings.

50 (44.6 %) belongs to rural areas and 62 (55.4 %) from urban. Low socioeconomic conditions were noted in 66 (58.9%) and 46 (41.1%) were from middle income household. Mean BMI was  $22.32 \pm 1.13 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and 15 (13.4 %) were labeled obese. History of breastfeeding was noted in 73 (65.2%) and mean duration of illness was  $4.24 \pm 2.15$  days with 106 (64.6%) had a total span of 7 days. Bilal et al  $^{16}$  has also demonstrated mean duration of pediatric acute diarrhea was  $3.2 \pm 1.7$  days. Another research carried out by Mukhtar et al  $^{18}$  has also documented mean duration of pediatric acute diarrhea to be  $5.13 \pm 3.91$  days, consistent with our findings.

81 (72.3 %) were vaccinated children and 31 (27.7 %) were un-vaccinated. Efficacy was noted in 100 (89.3%), efficacy in group A was 46 (82.1%) and in group B efficacy was noted to be in 54 (96.4%) of our study cases. Epifanio et al10 in their study found that ondansetron was effective in stopping vomiting in 100% at 01 hour and 98.3% at six hours of treatment compared to metoclopramide which was successful only in 94.8% at one hour and 84.4% at six hours in children with acute gastroenteritis with vomiting. These findings are consistent with our results. Similar studies performed on efficacy of ondansetron showed results with efficacy in 81.1% of patients in Al-Ansari K et al study<sup>11</sup>, 84% in Marzuillo P et al study<sup>12</sup> and 91% Mahram M et al study9. These findings are in accordance with our research findings. A study conducted in Rawalpindi by Amin et al 19 demonstrated similar results showing effectiveness of ondansetron in improving hydration among children with diarrhea.

# **CONCLUSION**

Ondansetron was found to be safe, efficacious, reliable and effective for prevention of vomiting in children with acute gastroenteritis than that of metoclopramide. Efficacy of ondansetron was significantly higher as compared to metoclopramide, hence all clinicians treating such patients should always employ ondansetron to achieve desired clinical outcomes. This

will decrease burden of related morbidities, extra healthcare costs and improve quality of life of these patients.

#### **Author's Contribution:**

Concept & Design of Study: Afzal Khan

Drafting: Quratulain, Hina Pervez
Data Analysis: Sohail Akhtar,

Inayatullah,

Fareed Ullah Shah

Revisiting Critically: Afzal Khan, Quratulain

Final Approval of version: Afzal Khan

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Ahmadi E, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Rezai MS. Efficacy of probiotic use in acute rotavirus diarrhea in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Caspian J Int Med 2015;6(4):187-95.
- 2. Khan A, Javed T, Chisti AL. Clinical Efficacy of Use of Probiotic "Saccharomyces Boulardii" in Children with Acute Watery Diarrhea. Pak Paed J 2012;36(3):122-7.
- 3. Habib MI, Kazi SG, Khan KA, Zia N. Rota virus diarrhea in hospitalized children. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2014;24(2):114-7.
- National Institute of Population Studies (NIPS) [Pakistan] and ICF International. 2013. Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2012-13. Islamabad, Pakistan, and Calverton, Maryland, USA: NIPS and ICF Int 2013:157-161.
- 5. Gulshekan K, Badeli H, Rezaieian S, Mohammadpour H, Hassanzadehrad A. Effect of oral ondansetron on decreasing the vomiting associated with acute gastroenteritis in Iranian children. Iran J Petiatr 2013;23(5):557-63.
- 6. Marchetti F, Maestro A, Rovere F, et al. Oral ondansetron versus domperidone for symptomatic treatment of vomiting during acute gastroenteritis in children: multicentre randomized controlled trial. BMC Pediatr 2011;11:15.
- 7. Rerksuppaphola S, Rerksuppaphol L. Randomized Study of Ondansetron Versus Domperidone in the Treatment of Children With Acute Gastroenteritis. J Clin Med Res 2013;5(6):460-6.
- 8. Marchetti F, Bonati M, Maestro A, Zanon D, Rovere F, Arrighini A, et al. Oral Ondansetron versus Domperidone for Acute Gastroenteritis in Pediatric Emergency Departments: Multicenter Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial.[online].2016[cited on 2017, Oct 23].

- Available from; http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0165441.
- 9. Mahram M, Darabi M, Oveisi. The effect of ondansetron on decreasing the hospitalization rate in children with gastroenteritis and recurrent vomiting. IRCMJ 2016;18(11):e42907.
- 10. Epifanio M, Portela JL, Piva JP, Ferreira CH, Icaza EE, Mattiello R. Bromopride, metoclopramide, or ondansetron for vomiting in the pediatric emergency department: a randomized controlled trial. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2018;94(1):62-8.
- 11. Al-Ansari K, Alomary S, Abdulateef, Alshawagfa M, Kamal K. Metoclopramide versus ondansetron for the treatment of vomiting in children with acute gastroenteritis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2011; 53(2):156-60.
- 12. Ondansetron as the first approach in the management of the patients with acute gastroenteritis visiting the pediatric emergency department: A single-center experience. Turk J Gastroenterol 2016;27(5):475.
- 13. Tefera YG, Ayele AA. Newborns and Under-5 Mortality in Ethiopia: The Necessity to Revitalize Partnership in Post-COVID-19 Era to Meet the SDG Targets. J Prim Care Community Health 2021;12:2150132721996889.
- Sambe-Ba B, Espié E, Faye ME, Timbiné LG, Sembene M, Gassama-Sow A. Communityacquired diarrhea among children and adults in urban settings in Senegal: clinical, epidemiological and microbiological aspects. BMC Infect Dis 2013;13:580.
- 15. Moyo SJ<sup>1</sup>, Gro N, Matee MI, Kitundu J, Myrmel H, Mylvaganam H. Age specific aetiological agents of diarrhoea in hospitalized children aged less than five years in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. BMC Pediatr 2011; 23(11):19.
- 16. Bilal A, Sadiq MA, Haider N. Frequency of hyponatraemia and hypokalaemia in malnourished children with acute diarrhoea. J Pak Med Assoc 2016;66(9):1077-1080.
- 17. Ijaz SF, Afzal MF, Sultan MA. Controlled trial of hypo-osmolar versus WHO-ORS solution in children with acute watery diarrhea. Pak Paed J 2012;36(1):3-6.
- 18. Mukhtar F, Shahid M, Aamir M, Hayat S. Frequency of hypomagnesemia in children with acute diarrhea. Med Forum 2017;28(4):124-27.
- 19. Amin M, Khan MWA. Role of oral ondansetron as an adjuent to oral rehydration therapy in acute gastroenteritis. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2018;68(4):1003-06.