Original Article

Impact of Acute Surgical Unit in Appendicectomy Outcomes

Impact of Acute Surgical Unit in Appendicectomy Outcomes

Ameer Ali Khaskeli, Ishak Soomro, Farhat Bano and Feroz Mahar

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the impact of acute surgical unit in appendicectomy outcomes in our hospital.

Study Design: Prospective / cross-sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the SMBB Medical College and Sindh Government Lyari General Hospital, Karachi from January 2017 to December 2017.

Materials and Methods: The sample size of study was 100 taking prevalence to 32.5%. All patients with Age > 18 yrs, patients presenting with signs and symptoms of appendicitis in outpatient or emergency department were included. Patients with going home against advice and bleeding disorders were excluded.

Results: Out of 100 patients enrolled in study there were 45% males and 55% females. The mean age was 36.9 ± 9.67 years. Patients were shifted from emergency to theatre in 6.91 ± 1.22 hours, while the mean duration of hospital stay of patients was 2.95 ± 0.75 days. The complication rate in our study was 18%. Only 10% patients presented with negative appendicectomy.

Conclusion: Acute surgical unit is associated with decreased patients' morbidity due to early appendicectomy with decreased length of stay and postoperative complication.

Key Words: Appendicitis, Acute Surgical Unit, Outcomes

Citation of articles: Khaskeli AA, Soomro I, Bano F, Mahar F. Impact of Acute Surgical Unit in Appendicectomy Outcomes. Med Forum 2018;29(11):84-86.

INTRODUCTION

Appendicitis is a surgical emergency requiring hospital admission and ultimately surgery in 300,000 patients every year in US^{1, 2}. In 2015 around 11.6 million cases have occurred with around 50100 deaths reported¹⁻³. In Pakistan studies haveshown 37% incidenceof appendicitis, around 22%-37% requiring appendectomy and postoperative complications developing in 33% patients^{4,5}.

Appendectomy is standard treatment and gives rise to complications if not operated with 3% developing complication in unruptured cases and 59% in case of rupture⁴⁻⁶.Laparoscopic appendicectomy have been favored over the open method in terms of lesser complications, shorter hospital stay, decreased admission duration which then impacts quality of life of patients with early resumption of normal activities⁶⁻¹⁰. Different studies have been done to determine outcomes of meta-analysis. Balasubramanian et al has compared 14 comparative studies and found that acute

Department of Surgery, SMBB Medical College, Karachi.

Correspondence: Dr. Ameer Ali khaskeli, Associate professor of Surgery, SMBB Medical College, Karachi.

surgical units reduce chances of complications and

Contact No: 03412696218

improves quality of care⁷.

Email: drameeralikhaskhaly@hotmail.com

Received by: March, 2018 Accepted by: August, 2018 Printed by: November 2018 Kinnear et al has also compared acute surgical unit with traditional units improved ER to OT referral time of 19.4 vs 17.9 hrs, length of stay of 2.32 to 2.06 days⁸. Qayyuumet al has found 25% patients undergoing open appendectomy out of 26% presenting with appendicitis⁹. Another retrospective study by Raja et al found reconversion to open appendicectomy in 3.31%, 3.9% readmissions, complications rate of 1.3%, 20-72% discharges in < 24hours ¹⁰.

The aim of the study was to determine impact of acute surgical unit in appendicectomy outcomes in our society in order to improve and decreasepatient's quality of health care as well as lesser hospital stay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is a prospective cross-sectional study conducted in SMBB Medical CollegeLyari and Sindh Government Lyari General Hospital, Karachifrom January 2017 to December 2017.Informed consent was taken from patients or next to kin after approval from ethical committee board. The sample size of study was 100 taking prevalence to 32.5%. All patients with Age > 18 yrs, patients presenting with signs and symptoms of appendicitis in outpatient or emergency department were included. Patients with going home against advice and bleeding disorders were excluded. After admission relevant history and examination as done, the laboratory test included polmorphouclear count, urine detailed report and ultrasound scanning and computed tomography. Finally the surgery is been performed.

The findings at operation wereuniformly described on a datacollection form. All excised specimens were sent for histopathologicexamination. They were reviewed by one of theauthors, who wereaware of the surgeon's preoperative judgment of the diagnosis or the primary pathologicreport.

Patients time of arrival and operation time shift, length of hospital stay, complications rates, and ICU admissions were addressed.

Data was analyzed by statistical software package SPSS version 20.0. Continuous variable that is patient's age were expressed as mean +_SD. Qualitative variables will be expressed as frequencies and percentages.

RESULTS

Out of 100 patients enrolled in study there were 45% males and 55% females. The mean age was 36.9 ± 9.67 years (table 1). Patients enrolled were mostly in young age with incidence nearly equal in both males and females.

Patients were shifted from emergency to theatre in $6.91\pm~1.22$ hours compared to patients shifted from emergency to ward and then operation theatre. The mean duration of hospital stay of patients was $2.95\pm~0.75$ days. The duration was lesser in patients undergoing laparoscopic appendicectomy compared to open appendicectomy. The complication rate in our study was 18% due to late presentations of patients with peritonitis patients requiring open laparatomy. Only 10% patients presented with negative appendicectomy and were found to have ovarian cyst 6%, ureteric stone 4%. The number of patients readmitted within <48 hours were n=6 patients. Overall there was no mortality reported in our study.

Table No.1: Patients mean age

	Variables	Mean ±SD
		Frequency
		(percentages)
1.	Age in years	36.9 ± 9.67 years
2.	Referral to OT in hours	6.91± 1.22 hours
3.	Length of stay in hospital	$2.95 \pm 0.75 \text{ days}$
	in days	
4.	Postoperative	18%
	complication	
5.	Negative appendicectomy	10%

DISCUSSION

The acute surgical unit's concept aroused due to need for blunt and penetrating trauma surgeries which needs urgent surgeries and intervention^{1, 2, 10-12}. Emergency management has faced crisis in terms of non availability of surgeons, overcrowding, delays, delivering critical care, timely surgical evaluation by Surgeons and has led to arousal of acute surgical unit. Emergency departments unfortunately suffer increased difficulties with arrangement of surgeon's coverage on

call. The AHA survey of emergency services conducted a survey on availability of on call and thus found increased difficulty in arranging surgeons¹⁰⁻¹². Studies have shown that with availability of acute surgical units rates of night time appendicectomy and improved negative appendicectomy rates.

In our study the mean age of patient was middle aged 36-37yrs. However differentstudies have also found similar incidences of age however on contrary to our report in which females were greater than males. Earley et al has found difference in age of patients presenting and treated by acute surgical group and the ones compared with urgent appendicectomy¹².

Overall decreased length of stay, decreased rates of complications have been reported by the patients underwent early appendicectomy. In our study the patient's average time of shifting to operation theatre was decreased, thus decreased hospital stay have been found in patients treated by early surgical unit. Allaway et al has found statistically significant results (p value <0.001) with reduction night time surgery, similar complications rates and significant decrease in hospital stay when compared with established acute surgical unit. Different meta-analysis comparing 14 studies found statistically significant difference in decreased hospital stay, complication rates, perforartion rates^{6, 10}-¹². Wagar et al has found higher rate of complication of around 48% with 30% perforation in patients presenting with acute appendicitis and doesn't seek medical attention. 13 Another study by Asad et al reported 23% complications rate of appendicitis misdiagnosed by physicians and thus delays in urgent medical care results in increased morbidity¹⁴.

CONCLUSION

Acute surgical unit is associated with decreased patients morbidity due to early appendicectomy with decreased length of stay and postoperative complication.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Ameer Ali Khaskeli Drafting: Ishak Soomro Data Analysis: Farhat Bano, Feroz

Mahar

Revisiting Critically: Ameer Ali Khaskeli,

Ishak Soomro

Final Approval of version: Ameer Ali Khaskeli

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

 GBD. Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence, Collaborators. (8 October 2016).
 "Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990-2015: a systematic

- analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015". Lancet 2015;388 (10053): 1545–1602.
- Wier Lauren M; Hao Yu; Owens Pamela L. Washington, Raynard (June 2013). Overview of Children in the Emergency Department, 2010. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project Statistical Brief #157 (Report). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Archived from the original on 2013-12-03.
- 3. Wilms IM, de Hoog DE, de Visser DC, Janzing HM. Appendectomy versus antibiotic treatment for acute appendicitis. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011;11:CD00835
- Memon GA, Jamali KS, Shah SKA, Rehman H, Leghari S, Baloch S. Outcomes of Antibiotics as Primary Therapy in Uncomplicated Acute Appendicitis at PUMHS Nawabshah. J Dow Uni Health Sci 2017;11(1):6-10.
- Farid J, Bhambhro RJ, Soomro S.Acute appendicitis; present pattern and postoperative complications. Professional Med J 2016;23(03): 241-5.
- 6. Balasubramanian I, Creavin B, Winter D. Impact of an acute surgical unit in appendicectomy outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2018;50:114-120.
- 7. Kinnear N, et al. Impact of an acute surgical unit on patient outcomes in South Australia. Anz J Surg 2017;87(10):825-89.
- Qayyum A, Khan H. General surgeons; work load
 & management pattern in medical teaching

- institution Lady reading hospital Peshawar. Professional Med J 2016;23(08):959-63.
- 9. Cubas RF, Gómez NR, Rodriguez S, Wanis M, Sivanandam A, Garberoglio CA, et al. Outcomes in the management of appendicitis and cholecystitis in the setting of a new acute care surgery service model: impact on timing and cost 2012; 215(5):715–21.
- 10. Raja MH, Nakagawa S. A retrospective analysis of 151 laparoscopic appendicectomy cases at university hospital to study length of stay, readmission and complication rate. Isra Med J 2016;8(2):107-9.
- 11. Butt AU, Asghar MT. Laparoscopic Versus Open Appendicectomy. Esculapio J Services Inst Med Sci 2011;7(3):32-4.
- 12. Earley AS, Pryor JP, Kim PK, Hedrick JH, Kurichi JE, Minogue AC, et al. An acute care surgery model improves outcomes in patients with appendicitis. Ann Surg 2006;244(4),498–504.
- 13. Allaway MGR, Eslick GD, Kwok GTY, Cox MR. The Established Acute Surgical Unit: A reduction in nighttime appendicectomy without increased morbidity. Int J Surg 2017;43:81-85.
- 14. Waqar T, Riaz I. Delayed presentation and its effects on the prognosis of acute appendicitis. Ann King Edward Med Uni 2005;11(4):411-3.
- 15. Asad S, Ahmed A, Ahmad S, Ali S, Ahmed S, Ghaffar S, et al. Causes of delayed presentation of acute appendicitis and its impact on morbidity and mortality. J Ayub Med Coll Abottabad 2015;27(3): 620-3.